



# MOOT COURT SOCIETY, LAW CENTRE-I

## FACULTY OF LAW, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI



### CLARIFICATIONS TO THE MOOT PROBLEM

#### FIM 2025

Question 1 - I'd like to seek clarification about the way the Digital Media Reporting (Regulation) Rules, 2025 framed under the Act were notified, the medium of notification (Para 3 of moot problem) and if we know the date for the same.

Question 2 - How their violation was declared as unlawful in reference to UAPA, (para 4 moot problem) Meaning, if it is safe to presume that the procedure laid down in UAPA was followed for this notification?

Question 3 - Is it safe to say that the investigation that was Reported on was not concluded at the time of reporting in the absence of a timeline on the investigation in the facts provided?

Question 4 - Is it safe to say that Supriya did not challenge the charges faced by her and only challenged the constitutional validity of Rule 8 in her Appeal to the High Court. (para 7 moot problem) and can we say the charges have already been proven at the Trial court?

#### General Clarification

The base idea of the moot problem is that it is a constitutional challenge rooted in the Freedom of Speech and Expression. The specific challenge is to Rule 8 of the Digital Media Reporting (Regulation) Rules, 2025, which participants must analyze from the perspective of freedom of speech and **potential** violation of fundamental and legal rights.

Participants are expected to **argue using constitutional principles under Article 19 and related fundamental rights**. They may rely upon Indian case law (since the Constitution of India is pari materia to the Indian Constitution) and may also cite foreign jurisprudence where relevant.

As is typical in moot problems, the facts are set in a fictitious country (Indiana), and only the relevant portion of the parent Act and Rule 8 have been provided. The **task is to assess their validity and implications through legal reasoning and advocacy**.

Regards,  
Moot Court Society, Law Centre-I